

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

I would also like to add that there seems to be a growing interest in spreading propaganda on our airwaves, which is both disturbing and scary. If Sinclair can air this show, then they should be required to broadcast an equal counterpoint from the Kerry campaign. Why not require Sinclair to broadcast Fahrenheit 911? Thank you.